
Sample Ballot

General Election Tuesday, November 3, 2020 Muskegon County, Michigan City of Muskegon Heights, Precinct 1

Page 1 of 2



13117

Sample Ballot

General Election Tuesday, November 3, 2020 Muskegon County, Michigan **City of Muskegon Heights, Precinct 1**

Nonpartisan Section **Proposal Section Community College Board of Trustee Member** Judicial State **Muskegon Community Justice of Supreme Court** Proposal 20-1 College Vote for not more than 2 A proposed constitutional Vote for not more than 3 Elizabeth M. Welch amendment to allow **Shon Anne Cook** money from oil and gas Susan L. Hubbard mining on state-owned Nancy Frye lands to continue to be Mary Kelly collected in state funds Kathy Moore for land protection and **Bridget Mary** creation and maintenance McCormack Justice of Supreme of parks, nature areas, Court and public recreation facilities; and to describe Kerry Lee Morgan how money in those state funds can be spent. **Katherine Mary** Nepton This proposed _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ **Brock Swartzle** constitutional **Local School District** amendment would: **Board Member** - Allow the State Parks **Muskegon Heights Public** Endowment Fund to Schools continue receiving money Vote for not more than 3 from sales of oil and gas from state-owned lands _ _ _ _ _ _ to improve, maintain and Judge of Court of Appeals purchase land for State **3rd District** parks, and for Fund **Incumbent Position** Vote for not more than 2 administration, until its balance reaches **Mark Thomas** \$800,000,000. **Boonstra** Judge of Court of - Require subsequent oil Appeals and gas revenue from state-owned lands to go Jane E. Markey into the Natural Judge of Court of Appeals Resources Trust Fund. - Require at least 20% of Endowment Fund annual spending go toward State park improvement. - Require at least 25% of Trust Fund annual spending go toward Judge of Court of Appeals parks and public **3rd District** recreation areas and at **Partial Term** least 25% toward land Ending 1/1/2023 Vote for not more than 1 conservation. **James Robert** Redford Should this proposal be Judge of Court of adopted? Appeals Yes No _ _ _ _ _ _ _ . Proposal 20-2 Judge of Circuit Court A proposed constitutional **14th Circuit** amendment to require a **Incumbent Position** search warrant in order to Vote for not more than 1 access a person's Kathy L. Hoogstra

Page 2 of 2

Judge of Circuit Court
Judge of District Court 60th District
Incumbent Position
Vote for not more than 1
Maria Ladas Hoopes Judge of District Court
Judge of District Court
Judge of District Court 60th District
•
60th District
60th District Non-Incumbent
60th District Non-Incumbent Vote for not more than 1
60th District Non-Incumbent Vote for not more than 1

electronic data or electronic communications.

This proposed constitutional amendment would: - Prohibit unreasonable searches or seizures of a person's electronic data and electronic communications. - Require a search warrant to access a person's electronic data or electronic communications, under the same conditions currently required for the government to obtain a search warrant to search a person's house or seize a person's things.

Should this proposal be adopted?





No